approved for release through
Approved For Release HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM
Approved For Release 2002/06/18 nt GM-Represe - 0007 18 000 100 150 009-5

Date 3-30-92

## FINDERO THE PAGES: THE ROLE OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

An Address by: Allen W. Dulles The Her England Governor's Conference Boston, Mess. -- 16 Hevenber 1951

waggested that I probably would not wish to talk about the work of the Central Intelligence Agency as being too "secret." In turn they proposed that I discuss some phase of our foreign policy.

Tempting as this invitation is, I must decline it for good and sufficient reasons as I shall explain. On the other hand, I am very glad of this opportunity to discuss the objectives of our work in the Control Intelligence Agency, and in particular to outline some of the developments in the Agency during this last year since Constal Redell Exith became Director.

In the Central Intelligence Agency we have a clear mendate so to the scope of our work. We are fact finders not policy makers. Pureign policy is the responsibility of the President and the State Department. Our work, however, has a very definite relation to foreign policy since under the law setting up the Agency and under the directives of the Betianal Docurity Council we have the task of correlating and evaluating information relating to the metional security as a guide to those the formulate our foreign policies and our defence program,

In the world of today this is quite enough of a job and we have no inclination to stray into the fields of others.

Let me briefly illustrate the nature of this task. Simply put, the

This is a TEMPORARY DOCUMENT only, for the use of DCI/HS.

The record copy has been released to National Archives under the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM.

TC CC-163

Approved For Release 2002/06/48 : CIA-RDP84-0016TR000100150009-5

Agracy to the central point in processes for accombling and analyzing information relating to foreign countries whose policies and actions affect our notional according. The order of our priority to the order of importance in relation to mational according information on the Seriet Union and the actellities naturally takes first state.

Intelligence for our purposes is not morely a statistical curvey of the number of divisions, aixplance, or submerizes a potential energy may have. Stalin's state of mint is a more important intelligence item than the location of many feriet divisions. The intentions of the Erection are faste which for our purposes are generally the most important and of source the hardest to according.

It is generally much easier to know the expektities of the possible entegenist than his immediate intentions. Here is a typical enterior, then in levember 1950 the United Botions Serves draws areth in Earen toward the Inlin, it had not been difficult to assertain the presence of a mose of Chinese forces in Rendering the question was whether or not they would nove southward and intervene actively in the Earen war. This was a question of intention — the intention which existed in the minte of a very few men. In this type of situation the best that the intelligence officer can do in to draw a careful belance short of the evidence, pro and con, and the hormood policy nature or field strategist must determine his course. Then sound intelligence is lacking, these who determine policy are like merimers without a charte.

Your program countities was quite right, of course, in suggesting that cortain phases of our week in the GIA are not enhant to public

EN -DH/SH

disclosure. That is also true of many other departments and agencies of government, including in particular the Department of State, the Department of Defense and the Atomic Energy Consistion.

It is perfectly right and proper that we should know what the Department of State is countilwied to do, just as it would be highly improper if we expected the Department to tall we all the details of its negotiations; or in the case of the Department of Defense, how it proposes to conduct the defense of the United States or its latest achievements in the Sield of secret weapons.

It is very much the same with the Control Intelligence Agency. Under the law exceted in 1967 which provided for the unification of the armed services in the Department of Defence, a Control Intelligence Agency was created and placed under the National Focurity Council. The Council, our highest policy making body in planning for national defence, is precided ever by the Procident and includes among its members the Socretary of State and the Socretary of Defence. The 1967 law entrusted to the Control Intelligence Agency cortain functions which are publicly admitted and publicly processed. It is also provided that cortain functions of common concern to various departments and agencies of the government in the general field of intelligence, upon accignment by the National Socurity Council, should be carried out by the Agency. Curtain of those are of a confidential character,

These for the first time in our history provision has been made in the American governmental scheme for an Agency to coordinate our intelligence activities. This does not in any way affect the intelligence collecting functions of the Department of State or of the Asiai Pervious. The Agency should see to it, however, that there is no unnecessary deplication and that there are no asses of the intelligence field left meavered insofer as bonen ingentity can error than.

This new actup also permits the intelligence product of all of the agention of government to be analyzed at our control food point where it can be put into a form that will best sown these who must determine our policy in the fields of fereign relations and netional defense. In effect the GIA is a "electing house for facts" in the intermedical field. It has no police powers and no functions in the field of integral security which is largely the job of the F. B. I. Of course if our intelligence work turns up any subversive activities which affect our demotic security, we would pass the story along to the F. B. I.

The Central Intelligence Agency also has the duty to collect certain types of information to supplement that obtained through other departments.

These functions of the CII are a matter of public insulates. This does not mean that we disalose our nethods and techniques in securing information or in comperating with other government departments in entrying out certain cettivities which from a part of our everyll eteratory in the Cold May.

It was the experience gained in two world ware which showed the most to build an effective control intelligence corvice. During World War II, under the stress of that emergency, we pulled together professional soldiers and sallers and elemen, businesseen, professors, and lanyers, and lasks of all trades into the Office of Strategie Services. This expendention under Consrel William J. Denovan's dynamic landsrakip, gathered intelligenum and enelysed it. It also perfermed cartain elementaries activities in aid of the underground forces which were fighting Basiam, Fascian and Japanese militariam in the occupied areas. The G. I. A. has recruited much of the personnel from energy the non and weren tenined in the O. S. S. and has also drawn heavily on the Arned Services.

In World War II we showed that we had in this country the staff out of which an effective intelligence organization could be built. We worked side by side with the British and profited from their long years of experience. I think it is fair to say, however, that before the new was over we were able to held our own with them in many of the fields of intelligence operations.

but even if the experience of two World Wars had not proved the mosterity for a control intelligence agency, the vest couplication of world affairs since the last war would have tought we that we could not got along without its

The intelligence on which a foreign policy or our defence policy can be based in no longer obtainable by the ald methods and techniques largely based on occurs bloom diplemany. Today a robal leader or a nationalist robble reason may become more important to our policy than a prime minister, and a scientific development in bestile bands may be more algorithment for our defence than the secret treaty of a potential energy.

Once then a time it was chiefly economic and territorial expansionies which threatened the peace. Young those are far more subtle trends for the intelligence efficer to engine, such as subversion communical peace tration and emphasized methodalism.

Added to those complications we have to face the fact that the Soviet blue has determined to out itself off from the root of the world. Since the dark ages there never has been such a bluebout of information about a great segment of the globe. The mental information about the Soviet and its establites - the type of information that they can get about us by reading our daily proce - is revely available through the usual channels.

This is one of the next deeply disturbing features of the intermational situation today. Why is the Seviet going to this vert touchle
and expense to keep us ignorant of what they are deing; to keep from us
as best they can the flow of information which over the years one country
has meanably had about another for the asking. Their policy in this
regard is stiffnning. The well of seasony has been drawn tighter each
year since the close of the may.

We can only spondiate as to the reasons. In 24 to try to profit from the element of susprincy to heap up the finding of tension under which they hope we will breakly to get us off on false secretary to heap their pure people in ignorance of the ortains workly or to hid their one deficiencies,

Pennish it is a continution of all these notives plus truditional Russian secretiveness, for the poviet has berrowed and improved upon the techniques of information blackert interited from the days of Imporial Russian In interesting commentary on the eld Consist policy of coursey is found in the recently published journal of the Marquis de Contine, a distinguished Franch traveller the visited Reseis in 1839. The author visitely describes the dissovertages at which western diplomets were placed in dealing with Russia of that days

"If botter diplomate are found using the Rescious than using highly divilized peoples," he writes, "it is because our papers were them of everything that happens and everything that is contemplated in our countries, Instead of disguising our weaknesses with presence, we reveal them with vehanence every norming; whereas the Russians' Rysentine policy, working in the shadow, carefully conseals from us all that is thought, done and feared in their country. We presend in broad daylight; they advance under covers the game is con-cided."

This is still true today, but the Frenlin has varily tightened the controls of the old Rysantine policy. A trip like Oustine's of 1839 would be unthinkable these days.

In one respect, however, the forist pality in the information field has estensibly had to relax certain centrals. Today even the people of the Soviet expect some information from their riber and in this regard they cannot eafely be ignored. In many fields, particularly in fereign matters and in everything beyond the ben of the people who are addressed, like our take the place of factor. But when it came to dealing with matters which are known to themsends of foriet citizens, the best the franklin's spokessen can be in a class the factor. For example, from time to then we get devict answersenses of their own production figures as they

take pride in comparing these figures with these of fenciet days and cross with their can pre-car production. Of course they are very corolly to give us very coursel, and in many cases cryptic figures, and they do not favor us publicly with information as to their military production.

The other day lerrenti lepts, previous maker of the Polithers and head of the Postet Police, was chosen to make the presummental for the Soviet on the Mith anniversary of the October herelation. The choice of the Soviet Police Read for this remarked alcreast rule had no great eighter fleance. Each year, values Stalin binesif decides to make the anniversary speech, we see a different orester from energ the Polithers on an single maker is allowed continuing force over his colleagues.

Beria's cryptic figures were not without interest and I teck occasion to compare his data on Seviet steel, electric power, and potentiam production with the estimates of Seviet production which British Defense Minister Shimmil had given publishly in a speech same four mentile up. Deric's figures were based on a noce possest estimate of Seviet production. With same pride Beria claimed that Seviet production in steel equaled that of the four main Mestern Resupean produces a Regions, France, Beigins and Seeden, and in electric power he beauted that the Seviet encoded France and the Maited Singless continues.

femolet eightfomstly bods emitted my soference to Amelian
production in these basic stone, and failed to marken that over an the
basic of his one claims, portat stool production to have then emorthise
that of the Taited States; potentions about emocrowith, and electric power
about emorthise.

These comparative figures, which reflect everall industrial production, and the war potential of the free actions, are of everas well known to the Kreelin. There is little person to believe that a Stalin would fall into the errors of a Ritler by leaving these factors out of his calculations. Of course in their our minds the Seviet may effect the great industrial experierity of the best by reliance on the fact that they now devote to war purposes a far greater personness than we of their steel and ell and electric power. This is an advantage which is declining as we turn to response and would drop still further in a long drawn out comflict.

But even if, as Custime writes, the game is one sided, insector as our relative insectors of each other is conserved, despite an accomismal bit of evidence vendosofed us by the Evenlin, this Seviet policy of vilenteets is likely in the long run to becomeng on them throughout the free world. By execting an apprehension of the unknown, it tends to force the pace of reasonable, and loads us to increased inventiveness so to be ready to meet at every point an adversary who apparently thinks he has everything to hide. Cortainly Seviet policy challenges the intelligence officer to sharpen his wite. If we can only upon the Sepulce theory that delicate provides its grantes in these civilizations which survive, we in the best should some set of this dilemm with the best intelligence services in the world. In any event, the situation calls for all the impantity of an organisation such as the GIA which, as can of its major tests, has the job of pulling tegether the evidence on Seviet capabilities and intentions.

As this evidence is assembled it is subjected to analysis in the CIA itself and evitical items are seviewed by an intelligence consisted which is precided ever by Conseal Redoll and the Director, of CIA, and includes the representatives of the intelligence services of the Departments of State, of the Army, the Hery and the Air Force, and of certain other governmental agencies. The Consistes meets at frequent and regular intervals to produce their estimates of what the svalighte intelligence shows. These estimates in turn are passed on to the policy making branches of the governments.

In these days of personnial crises in the four corners of the earth the range of policy issues facing the State Department and the Pentagon are not limited to the Seviet bloo, but the most urgent problems, in one way or another, the in to what the Seviet and its satellites can and are likely to do. Even a flare-up in the Middle East takes on significance in proportion to the danger it presents as opening a way for the advance of aggressive communicate.

Estimates on Seviet espabilities must be drawn up as best up can under the conditions of information blackent I have described. Information on intentions presents the greatest difficulty. If one could safely argue from past precedent, a reasonable pattern could be outlined. The difficulty liesing the fact that in a system like that of the framilia quick changes of policy without public debate are always a possibility. This we leaved in 1939 when the Seviet joined hands with mitter,

Footibly the Deviet at times considers us a bit unpredictable.

I have no doubt that the intelligence efficars the adviced the Kremlin of that the Daited States would do in Econo in June of 1990 falt that we

had practiced outright deception on them.

The questions on which our policy makers would like to have guidence from their intelligence advisors are summrous and varied. Fore are some that are typical:

- (1) Do the Seviet evidence any intention of precipitating a general war and under what conditions? This requires analysis of the relative strength of the Seviet world and of the Free World; how we appraise the Seviet views of our sun willingness to resist. We know that they realize their present superiority in ground forces and probable numerical advantage in air strength and that they might have local seccesses in Europe or in Asia. But just how do they view the great industrial superiority of the free nations, their advantage in access to rew materials and advance in atomic weapons. All of these and a host of other questions enter into any appraisal of the likelihood of Seviet military action.
- (2) If the UNSE does not resert to direct military action, will they continue to rely on the tection of infiltration and subversion and the use of satellite forces, and where, in the world, are they likely to direct their main efforts with these cold war weapons.
- (3) How much hope does the Seviet still place in the collapse of the Capitalist system, loudly and insistently predicted for many years. Mill it be their policy to drive us forward on the path of resonance, which they cannot reliab, in the hope that we will break our economic backes or will the fear of our rearmanent lead then to adopt a peace offensive often predicted but not yet clearly evidents for to put it mother way, is the fear of our rearmanent a more potent influence on

Soviet policy than their hope that the assument race may prove diseastrons to our economy?

(h) Will the Seviet view EATO recomment, including the recomment of Germany, as purely defensive in character as we view and delare it, or will they, at some point in the process of this reassument, consider that the measure is too great to be telerated?

I also those questions as typical of the vital issues of the day. They are issues upon which our policy nature are entitled to a measure of factual guidence from the intelligence agencies. It is the daty of intelligence to fill in the jigner pusals as the reports come in day by day in order to show where there is a solid foundation of fact as a basis for policy.

Obviously here extentifie exertment is not possible. We can only take the experience of the part plus the impact of all knowable current late of evidence and then mold a construction. An open sized and a willing-mess to accept new constructions where the facts impose then are absolutely essential. Stubbour projetion is fatal. My intelligence officer who is unstilling to view new facts with an open mind despite firm convictions beed out of past experience is unclass in our type of work. At the same time the intelligence officer must always knop in mind that he is not making palicy; that is up to others. In the proporation of his estimates he is a fact finder, Once he exceeds that rule he becomes unclass as an intelligence officer.

Just as projudice has no place in intelligence, seither has politice. Facts are noither Republican nor Democratic. And if the fearless reporting of the facts to the policy makers is colored with the projudice pro or

con of existing policies of government or of any political party, the intelligence has lost its integrity and its usefulness. From my experience in the Control Intelligence Agency under Consral Indell Smith, I can state that no political procures have over influenced its action, the selection of its personnal or its forthright analysis of the evidence as we see it.

In addition to propering estimates for long range policy guidance, CIA in conjunction with the other intelligence agencies has the problem of dealing with emergency situations. Here Pearl Earbor tenght us many lessons.

It that time there was considerable evidence of Japanese intentions in the possession of various government agencies. If all this had been quickly assembled in one place and insodiately considered by the competent intelligence efficers of the government, the conclusions might well have given the correct answer as to where the Japanese attack would take place. It is unrealistic and unfair to place the burden of factual analysis of masses of evidence solely upon the ever-burdened policy making officers of government, any one of when may have the time to study only a fragmentary part of all the evidence swallable. These officers are entitled to have some of this work done for them and by these who should be as far as possible freed from the grinding tank of meeting daily, sometimes heavily, calls for policy decision and artising

There has therefore been organised under the angle of CIA, through the Countition that I mentioned shows, a medicalen for almost instant analysis of cricis situations. All synthetic data flows to a single point, These competent to deal uith it can be called together at a missate's notice, The data is enclysed and the results of that analysis are placed before the policy nature.

Obelously as long as people are human and are areatures of projection there is no hundred per cent assurance of perfect results but at least now we have the mechanism to collect the evidence and percent demod competent in their profession, and breadly representative of the intelligence capabilities of the government, are available to pass upon it. This is a real step forward from the situation that existed just ten poore against a real step forward from the situation that existed just ten poore against them.

If we are to have an adequate intelligence in time of crimis, we must prepare in time of peace. We have now periodicly termed to this task of building that our military leaders agree in considering a first lime of defence. We are getting over the old habit of relegating intelligence to a secondary position or of confusing it at the more intrigue and the more larid side of espionage. It is a sories and honorable werk consultal to our security.

enterprise. In the CIA we depend nightily on enterior side, to have hope in the United States, in our universities, away our productional man and estantiate, in our labor unions with verificating connections, in our grant business enterprises, a vest store of vital information. We beauty expendable of this talent to some to Varhington, much as we checked like it. House, we came, as missionaries, to ask your unionstanding of the problem, ileas, we will be calling upon many of you in your various activities and professions to make a contribution to the sun total of our businesses, with your bells we can better present the facts on which to build a policy for security and peace.

HS/IIC- 163